from buying any, but the native produc- | in such a manner, as to require farther ; tions of our own country? Or what taxes on agriculture, the pay master genewould they say to a request from any one state in the Union, on the plea of Compel the cultivator of the soil to pay sire for the present, to prevent the slight-having suffered most, to compel the ma- more for what he wants, by excluding est extension of it. We have no wish to nufacturers to purchase bread stuffs, cotton and tobacco exclusively from that ties; take away, or diminish simultaneone? The glaring injustice of such a proposition would be denounced and very properly too, by every manufacturing establishment in the United States. Yet where is the difference in effect, between one state's asking such an advantage over the rest, and one class-a minor one too, praying for a similar privilege over the other classes, by seeking to compel then to buy what they want, only of them !-Neither the investment nor direction of individual capital, nor the adjustment of those losses and gams resulting either from its good or bad management, have ever appeared to us, to be matters with which government could legitimately concern. If this position be true, and to us it seems demonstrable, the following interence must be most obvious; that the claim of our manufacturers to Congressional interference in their behalf, by a tax-no part of which they are to pay, cannot be sustained upon any principles. either of equity or constitutional law. I they have chosen to conjecture that they were to be kept up by the nation, cost what it might; that they alone, were impunity to erect palaces, instead of simple manufacturing buildings, and to spend in the structure and equipment of these such enormous sums, as to leave little else, besides the delusive hopes of exorbitant profits to carry on their bu siness; that agriculture was to be taxed, ad libitum, either through foreign imports, or in some other way, to compensate them for every failure; the whole error in these unreasonable calculations. being their own, so should be the total loss. No speculation originally bad, from violating the true principles of national policy, can ever be made good, merely by government's undertaking to reimburse the losers. This only transfers the loss from those who ought to bear it, being their own contrivance, to those who are innocent of all participation in the blunder. Should these sentiments subject us to the imputation of disregarding the misfortunes of our fellow citizens, we may safely urge in our defence, that had we been originally deficient in our due share of humanity, whi h we by no meanadmit, the pressure of the times has borne sufficiently hard upon us also, to inspire abundant sympathy for all their suffer ings. But individual and voluntary be neficence, we contend, is the source alone, from whence these should be relieved, when beyond the exertions of the sufferers themselves; not from the coffers of the government; and still less, by the imposition of partial taxes, either direct, or indirect. In the body politic, as in the body natural, there are certain diseases so complicated and inscrutable that it is safest to leave them to work their own cure. The vis medicatrix naturae, is the best physician in all such emergencies; for if the government under takes to play the doctor, the patients are much oftener killed than cured by the prescriptions. But there is another ground on which you are importuned to grant the prayer

of the petitioners, that we cannot omit to notice. You are confidently told, that such a measure will "promote national industry;" and upon this plausible pre text you are ask d to impose a tax to be paid by agriculture for the benefit of her friend and ally-manufactures. This, it is true, has not been said in so many words by the petitioners; but we confess our incapacity to see their object in any other light. That the temporary interests of our manufacturers would, for a time, be promoted by such a tax, we have no doubt whatever; but we have yet to learn that they constitute the nation. If they do not; -if they compose but a small part of it; -- if a very large majority of the whole people have any superior claim to be called " the nation ;"-and if this majority in our country, be the agriculturalists; -then are we prepared show, that national industry, so far from being promoted by it, will be most injuriously checked,-it not in a great measure destroyed .- At this advanced stage of political knowledge, we had hoped, that there never could be an occusion,-in our country, at least, for the recapitulation of certain first principles in political economy, which from the universality of their adoption by all the ablest writers on this science, may almost be pronounced axioms. Yet this seems to be rendered necessary in self defence, by the recent justification of a departure from the so long acknowledged maxim. that the many should not be taxed for the benefit of the few;" and by the at tempt to shew the impolicy of "purchas ing where we can purchase cheapest;" both of which we perceive in some of the late addresses on behalf of our manufacturers. National industry can only be promoted, by finding ready and extensive markets for that which it produces; and the continued exertion of this industry depends upon the extent and constancy of those marts, where national superfinities may be exchanged for each ther on the best attainable terms. Every limitation therefore, of these markets, whether created by our own, or by a foreign government, must inevitably have the effect of discouraging in a proportionate degree, the national industry; because the stimulus which imparted and continued its motion, is diminished or destroyed. " How ridiculous then, to attempt to increase this industry, by having recourse to prohibitory enactments! It we will not buy of foreigners, foreigners cannot buy of us. The restrictive system, it carried to its full extent, would shut us out of every market. It would not merely diminish, but totally ann hi-late the commerce of the world." Now although the talse policy of some of the foreign governments with whom we have commercial relations, has diminished the markets for our products,-can this be a sufficient reason on our part, for a policy which will decrease these markets still more? Can any man believe, that a total prohibition of foreign imports could create a number of domestic manufacturers adequate to the consumption of all the surplus productions of our agriculture? If not,-how is a partial prohibition to have that effect ;- as it can only substitute a small domestic market with reduced prices for our labour, and enhanced ones for theirs, in lieu of all that portion of the foreign market which such partial prohibition would extinguish:incumbered too, by the additional duties. to be transferred from our pockets to those of the domestic manufacturers; and

ral, to support the government itself? tem of duties upon imports, we only or raising the price of foreign commodiously, his chance for selling what he makes, at the best prices he can; and we shall, by forcing agricultural capital to seek other channels, not only, very soon reduce the quantity of agricultural products within such limits, as no lenger to excite the sympathies of our manufacurers for our difficulties in getting rid it the excess; but bring about a state it things, after the lapse of a few years, when our surpluses of all sorts will be converted into deficits, and we may all have the consulation, it it be any, of

starving together.
It is by the productive labor alone, or any country, that capital is augmented; any thing therefore which sets this labor in motion, and continues its activity, necessarily increases national capital. But the most powerful by far, of all these stimuli, is tree-trade; and the more extensive it becomes, the greater will be the quantity of productive labor employed, and the more rapid the augmentation of permanent wealth. "Commerce being nothing more than an exchange of equivalents;" there can be no greater absurdity, than to suppose, that the importation of foreign commodities has a tendency to impoverish a country. " For whatever we purchase, must be obtained with the produce, either of our land, capital, or labor ; the commodities bought, are of course equivalents for such portions of the product either of the labor, the capital, or the land of the country, as have been employed in obtaining them. If those to whom we sell, should happen to make a greater profit from their industry, than we do from ours, this circumstance does not affect the truth of the general principle; for so long as the sellers in both cases, find a ready market for what they make, so long must national industry be promoted in both; and so long will national capital, which depends upon the constant exertion of this industry, con tinue to be augmented. The ratio of in crease may be greater in one country, than in the other; but still, it is gain in each; nor can we perceive even the shadow of plausibility in the sophism, that would make it any thing else. "To whatever extent we may purchase of othernations, they must inevitably be compelled, directly or indirectly to purchase a corresponding quantity from us; and vice versa, the less we buy of them, the less they can buy of us. But if the per-manent prosperity of all countries, so far as it results from wealth, depends upn the continual increase of productions, and the ready sale of the surplus, can we rationally expect the mere exchange of a foreign, for a domestic market, such as ours, that is, a market in expectancy, to produce this effect? Suppose the substitution made, and imagine that to gratify our manufacturers, the strong arm of power shall check, or annihilate our fo home; will the same arm, at the same time, legally compel these manufactursince we shall be virtually compell d to purchase theirs, at what prices they which is our only security against exac tions on the one hand, and for fair profit

reign market to the extest they wish, and leave us to take our chance for one at ers to buy all our produce at fair prices, If not, what is to become of that salutary principle of competition, on the other? But say they, it is high time to turn our eyes homeward for markets, when we can see nothing for our produce abroad, but losing prices, or total exclusion; yet the whole their application to your honorable body is, the assumption, that foreign commo dities abound so much in our country. as to prevent them from living by the manufacture of domestic ones. How these goods get here, without some equivalent being taken in exchange for them, and of course som market, a pretty ex tensive one too, being open for its reception, is a mystery which we shall leave to parts of their dilemma cannot be true. If we hav a vent abroad for our products, to complain of wanting one, con tradicts a plain matter of fact. On the contrary, if we have little or no foreign market, we can receive but few, or no foreign commodities, and of course the manufacturers need not fear a competi-tion which does not exist. But we do not deny that our foreign markets have decreased; and we will add, from causes beyond our controul: yet surely, this can furnish no reason for decreasing them still more by our own acts. It would be the old project in a new form, of cutting off men's feet to save shoes. "The end of all commerce is certainly to increase production, and the' partial loss may possibly accrue from this increase, yet the general happiness must be augmented. As well might we endeavour to arrest all improvements in agriculture and manufactures, and all inventions of machinery; for though these contribute to general abundance, and therefore to the general happiness, they never fail at the moment of their introduction, to deteriorate or annihilate a part of the existing capital of farmers and manufacturers." nearly the words of one of the ablest political economists of the present age, as quoted in a late public journal of established reputation; and in our judgment amount to a demonstration of the impolicy and injustice of any legal excluforeign competition from our markets. It would indeed be an act of sore oppression, thus to create, at our expense, a virtual monopoly in favour of our domestic manufacturers, who, unless they differed from all the men, that ever have been placed in similar circumstances, would not fail to make us pay, in the price of their commodities, difference occasioned by the excluding duty. We are far from asserting that

If there be any truth in the foregoing rguments, it must be manifest, that profuction and consumption, mutually in-rease each other; that by this increase & ry this alone, national industry is promoted, national capital augmented, and ational happiness, so far as it depends on wealth, ensured; let us then, no farher pursue the policy of controlling them by legislative enactments, the obvireducing the revenue, at the same time, to counteract all these beneficial results.

they would do wrong to avail themselve-

of such an advantage; we believe all

classes would do the same; but we can

not silently submit to be placed in any

situation, where we should be subjected

to the double loss of enhanced prices for

what we bought, and reduced ones for

all that we sold.

contained, be opposed to the whole sys be understood, as aiming at the abolition of all taxes whatever upon foreign commodities. To a certain extent we believe such faxes as eligible perhaps, as any others ; but we tak this occasion to say, that many of them have appeared to us, already too high ; and that the nearer we can possibly approach to free trade with all the world, and equal taxation among ourselves, the better. Every departure from these great principles is calculated to work injustice by drawing money unequally from the members of the commu mity; because it must necessarily press chiefly on the consumers, who are prinpally agriculturalists; and by diminish ng consumption from rend-ring it more costly, must check in a proportionate de gree the national industry among that lass where it is most productive. classes are in reality, not in profession alone, equally entitled to the protection of government; there is sur ly a corres ponding obligation on all, to contribute equally towards the support thereof. Not does it appear to us, that the circumstance of other nations adopting this per nicious principle of checking, or gether prohibiting by excessive duties the introduction of our commodities, can furnish any valid reason for us to retaliate in the same way, under the delusive notion, that it is a countervailing policy. Studiously to avoid receiving a benefit, from a beijef that we shall thereby injure those who attempt to injure us, is a mode of counteraction which inevitably inflicts as much mischief upon ourselves, as it can possibly occasion to others. It may be called, (to borrow the language of Mr. Jefferson) " the upprofitable contest of trying which can do each other the most harm." Does not the true countervailing policy, rather consist in doing every thing we can to render al articles of home consumption derived from other countries, as cheap as possi ble; because the more you increase con sumption, the greater activity you give to the productive industry of the nation, by which alone, the articles to be con-sumed, can be purchased? The conducof other nations, it is true, may impede this industry, if they chuse to injure themselves in order to achieve so hope ful a project ; but it depends in a great measure upon ourselves, whose staple products are articles of the first necessify how far these impediments shall extend If the circumstance of excluding our productions from foreign markets, is cause which creates this check: the effect must certainly be much the same, wheth er the exclusion be the work of our own hands, or that of foreign nations alone: and such exclusion is as readily accomplished by refusing to take foreign commodities, as by directly prohibiting ours from going abroad; simply because it is impossible to sell, unless we will buy But to aid other nations in injuring ourselves, is a species of reveng, which we confidently trust the lawgivers of the land will ever be too wise to pursue.

In submitting those views to your honorable body, your memorialists do not pretend to suggest any thing new. They have merely endeavored to condense and exhibit the chief principles, arguments, and illustrations applicable to their present purpose, of several of the ablest political economists that the world has ever seen, who have enforced their favorite system with all the power of which poli tical reasoning seems to us by any possi-bility susceptible. Still less do your memorialists pretend to present their opinious, by way of information, in regard to matters upon which, they doubt not your competency wisely to decide. But, they have thought it just to themselves, as well as to all those who depend upon them, not to remain silent on the present occasion, lest that silence should be construed into an acquiescence in doctrines, not less injurious to their best interests. than to those of the United States.

The most unrestricted freedom of exportation and importation should be th aim of all the nations of the earth; and the nearer any one nation can approximate to that state of things, however other nations may labor to prevent it, the sooner will she acquire all the power and prosperity which she is capable of attain ing. All the difference will be, that she will not attain them quite as soon, as if the principle of unrestrained national in tercourse were universal. We hold it to be a maxim demonstrably true, "that government should in no case interfere to adjust the losses and gains of its citizens or subjects; but continually aim to re move every obstacle which may stand in the way of the accomulation of wealth, and the development of the powers and resources of talent and industry-not certainly to pamper and enrich one class of producers at the expense of the com munity." We consider it a truth equally irrefragable, that, "to prohibit a great people from making all they can of every part of their own produce, or from employing their stock and industry in the way they may judge most advantageous to themselves, is a manifest violation of the most sacred rights of mankind." How far these principles, as well as the others which we have previously stated, apply to the projects of our manu'acturers, we now submit it to your honorable body to decide.

JAMES M. GARNETT,

Vice President. Teste-JOHN ADAMS, Sec'y.

FOR THE ENQUIRER.

The boundary line lately run between Tennessee and Kentucky, crosses the Mississippi twice; and, from a bend of the river, gives to Virginia about 7,000 acres of first rate land, not ceded to Kentucky. This land, if prn-dently sold, would command a large sum, fully sufficient to open a direct communication with Kentucky, through Tazewell county, to suit the road ordered by the state of Kentucky, is that direction.

Some attempts will be made to deprive Vir-Some attempts will be made to deprive sur-ginia of this land, by the means of treasury warrants—which may be obviated by an ap-propriation to be made by the Legislature, in trust to commissioners for the above purpose.

NOMINATION OF ELECTORS.

On Thursday Evening, agreeably to adjournment, the members of both branches of the General Assembly assembled in the Capitol for the purpose of nominating persons to serve as electors of President and Vice President of the U. States .-The lobby and gallery were filled with a

crowd of citizens, Linn Banks, Speaker of the H. of D. in the chair-William Mugford, Clerk of the H. of D. was secretary to the meeting. On motion, the roll of the Senators and Delegates was called over, and the follow-

MEMUERS OF THE SENATE.—From the District of Amelia, James Robertson, Jr.—Rockingham, Daviel Bryan Augusta Chapman Johnson—Boletourt, Andrew Homilton—Sussex, John Cargill—Southampton, Benjamin W. Johnson—Charlotte, Howson Clarke—Spottsylvania, Liwrence T. Dade—Hampshire, Warner Throckmorton—Washington Francis Preston—Caroline, Armisted C. Hoomes—Ohio, George I. Davisson—Prince William, Redman Foster—Princess Anne, William C. Holt—Campbell, Edward Watts—Frankiin George Hairston Jr.—Albematle, Joe

Franklin G. Holt-Campbell, Edward Walts-Franklin George Hairston Jr.—Albemarle, Jo-seph C. Cabell-King George Jahn Taliaferro— Matthews, John Patterson—19.

Members of the House of Delegates.—Thomas M. Bayley, John P. Drummond, William F. Gordou, Charles Everett, Rodophil Jeter, Da-vid S. Garland, Charles P. Taliaferro, Briscoe G. Baldwin Andrew Anderson Loby Briscoe G. Baldwin Andrew Anderson Loby Briscoe vio S. Gariand, Charles P. Tahaferro, Briscoe G. Baldwin, Andrew Anderson, John Brown, jr. William Cackley, William Campbell, Jahn P. Gray, Joel Waid, John Porterfield, James Breckenridge, Charles Yancey, John T. Bocock, Edmund McGinnis, William R. Roane, Archibald Stewart, Hay Battaile, William Dickinson John Armistead, Christopher S. Roane, James Wills, Edward H. Boisseau, Frederick Clarke Wills, Edward H. Roisseau, Frederick Clarke, Ambrose P. Hill, George Ficklin, Edward L. Pegram, Burwell Goodwin, Charles M. Collier, James Hunter, John M. Garnett, Robert T. Thompsen, John P. Smith, Thomas Brown Joseph Harden, Gideon A. Strange, Thomas B. Greer Robert T. Woods, Archibald Magill, Joseph Sexton, David Johnson, Christian Snidow James Wyatt, Richard G. Morris, Tarlton Woodson, James B. Ferguson, William Smith, James McLanghlin. Robert B. Stark, William S. Jeffries, Edward C. Carrington, Richard Logan William Armstrong, jr Reuben Meredith, Jethro Nevill, Joseph Johnson, Humphrey Faris, William B. Chamberlayne, William Selden, Joseph Martin, Benjamin Dyer, Arthur Smith, John Clocker, Burweil Bassett, Bennett Kirby, Braxton Davenport, Bassett, Bennett Kirby, Braxton Davenport, Edward Lucas, jr. Joseph Lövell, Claudius Buster, Humphrey Walker, John Hooe, James 5. Talizterro, Philip A lett. Thomas Hill Geo Chrisman, Andrew McMillan, John Haymond, Chrisman, Andrew McMillan, John Haymond, Frederick Harris, Peter Winston, Joseph J Degraffenreidt, Linn Banks, Robert Hill John Degratienreidt, Luin Banks, Robert Hill John Cantrill, John Henderson, Thomas James, jr. James H. Roy, David Shelton, Zachariah U. Crittenden, George D. Nicholson, Alpheus P. Wilson, William Vass. Michael Erskine, Thomas Bowyer, William B. Chariton, Edward Rion, William Hamilton, Thomas Borland, Richard Graves, John H. Christian, Mordecai Cook, John Hodgas, William Dancie, Island Gook, John Hodges, William Dunton, John L. Chinn, John Middleton, James N. Fletcher, John H. Knight, William C. Rives, Joseph Shelton, William Irwin, 'saac Davis, jr, William Morton, jr, John Fairfax, Madison R. Hughes, Abraham Staples, Thomas H. Wooding, William Swanson, jr Branch T. Archer, Thomas Miller, Henry E. Watkins, Caleb Ward, sen Bennard Swanson, jr Branch T. Archer, Thomas Henry E. Watkins, Caleb Ward, sen, Bernard Henry E. Watkins, Caleb Ward, sen, Bernard Hooe, jr. John Fox, Nathaniel Colley, Richard B. Batte Isaac Booth, Richard Street, Vincent Branham, John Bowyer, Andrew Alexander, Daniel Mathews, John Herring, James Dickin-Daniel Mathews, John Herring, James Dickinsen, John Jesse, Thomas Rogers, James Albert, John Colville, Joseph S. Spengler, Carr Bowers, John Quarles, Waller Holladay, Benjamin Fickling, George Waller, John Velvin, John Huson, William P. Wyche, Thomas Perry, Thomas Pescud, Nathaniel Dryden, Peter Mayo, George Glascock, William Middleton, Hezekiah Bukey, Granville Henderson Thos Griffin, William McCaudlish, George Loyall, Andrew Stevenson, and Samuel Travis—166.

On motion, and the questions being ta

On motion, and the questions being ta ken on each, the following persons were recommended as Electors for President and Vice President of the U. States-viz: Wm. C. Holt (Norfolk District.)

2. Dr. Ch's H. Graves (Surry.) 3. John Pegram (Dinwiddie.) 4. Robert B. Stark (Greensville.) 5. John Pornall (Prin e Edward.) Dr. Branch T. Archer (Powbatan.)

Wm C. Rives (Nelson.) Charles Yancey (Buckingham.) 9. Joseph Martin (Henry.) 10. Wm. Brockenbrough (City of Rich'd.)

11 Armstead Hoomes (Caroline.) 12. James Hunter (Essex.) Robert Taylor (Orange.) 14. Isaac Foster (of Fanqui

15. Rob't Shields, sen. (of York county) Col. Wm. Jones (Gloucester.) 17. John Taliaferro (King George.) 18. John T. Brooke (of Stafford.)

Hugh Holmes (Frederick.) 20. Wm. Armstrong, jr. (Hampshire.) 21. Arch'd Rutherford (Rockingham.) Archibald Stuart (Augusta.)

23. Andrew Russell (Washington.) Charles Taylor (Montgomery.) 25. John Edie (Brooke.) On motion, the following persons were

appointed the Central Corresponding Committee; viz: Spencer Roane, An drew Stevenson, John Robertson, Philip N. Nicholas, Peter V. Daniel, Francis T. Brooke, Jerman Baker, John Coaler, Villiam Munford, Thomas Ritchie On motion, the meeting adjourned till Friday evening, 6 o'clock.

The meeting was held according to adjourn-med; but very little more was done, except appointing the county corresponding commitappointing the county corresponding commit-tees. This list will be published on Tuesday

No resolutions of a general description were proposed during the meetings. Adjourned.

Missouri Compromise .- Rufus King, &c. The letters from Washington (in our last, have had the effect which we expected. The writers, abilities are admired; while his scheme of compromise has produced one general burst of reprobation. What more could have been expected? Some have ascribed these letters to the pen of a Virginian? Not so; we trust there is no Virginian at Washington, that would dare publicly to vindicate a compromise with the constitution of his country—this compromise, too, that is so disproportionate, inexpedient and unjust.—No one here has advocated it : there is not one within our know ledge, but has set his face firmly, and anequi

vocally against it.

Other states may talk of our pride; they may charge as with an arrogant and domineering spirit: some panic-struck politician may regret the heat of the "Virginians at Richmond" on a late memorable occasion: let them vituperate as much as they please. We trust that Virginia will never sleep at her post; that she will never softer them. that she will never suffer others to violate the constitution in milence, or subscribe herself to compromise with her principles

These are certainly no ordinary times, presentatives may threaten a dissolution of the Union, or the election of another President, in spite of these menaces, it becomes us to be calm, but unwavering and nushaken as Monut

We say this firmly and frankly, though we are not ignorant of the pretensions of thos who would fill the chair. Of De Wit Clinton we have never concealed our opinon. Ambitious, intriguing, grasping in his designs careless of his meaus—such is one of those who aspire to "lord it over Venice." Of Mr. King we contess trankly, we had formed a different opinion. We regarded him, though a federal opinion. We regarded him, though a lederalist, as an high and honorable patriot. But that time has past. In one daring moment he has forgotten all the discretion and coolness which he has long studied to practise; and now he stands exposed in the eyes of his countrymen, goaded by an unboly ambition, attempting to cintch the office, which we for ever trust will ende his green.

tempting to clitch the office, which we for ever trust will clude his grasp. We understand that on Tuesday last, Mr. Pinkney replied to Mr. King in a speech of the most commanding cloquence. It is said to have been infinitely superior to his first. His manner towards Mr. K. was respectful and kind; but he tore his argument to stoms. The Senator from New York was observed to wince and cower beneath the thunders of his elo-

Altho the general reasoning herein ing gentlemen respectively answered to men are in favor of a compromise!!! Ring of certificate debt, and a debt of six thousand two bundles debt, and two bundles debt of six thousand two

REPORT ON THE TREASURY. Yesterday, Mr. Crump, from the Select Committee, laid before the House of Delegates the following Report. We hasten to lay it before our readers:

The Committee appointed on the part of the House of Delegates, to investigate jointly, with a committee of the Senate, the state of the late Treasurer's accounts, and to make an examination of the trea sury office in conformity to the resolutions of the 10th January, touching that department, have performed that duty as far as circumstances will allow, and beg leave to submit the following REPORT

The Committee are apprized that it is no less due to the House than to themselves to offer an apology for the apparent delay in presenting the result of their labors. It will be found in the entire suspension of their duties for eleven days, intervening the resignation of the late treasurer and the induction of his successor, and in a like suspension of many days for want of documents from the auditor's department. Those documents are the result of a very laborious, and it is believed, accurate examination into every item of the several funds, to which they relate, and were turnished with a despatch and perspicuity, which entitled Mr. Jackson, clerk of accounts, to the unqualified thanks of the committee .the principal object of the committee was to ascertain the amount due, and unaccounted for by the late treasurer, they examined the receipts and disburse cents of the government from the 1st October last to the 17th Jan. 1820 inclusive, and consultation auditor's books for that permanent will be found as follows:

Received into the Treasury
In Oct. \$201,907 50
Nov. 223,961 14
Dec. 38,919 45

Disbursed at the Treasury during the same For the month of Oct \$84,653 13 To 17th Jan. inclusive, 63,424 87

Leaving a balance in tavor of the Commonwealth, between the receipts and disbursements from the 1st Oct. To which should be added, balance reported by the standing 133,010 13

Making an aggregate due to the ommonwealth, on the 17th of Jan.

he late Treasurer is entitled to the following credits against that sum,

By money in the Treasury Office \$ 2,111 15 By deposit in Va. Bank By do, Farmers Bank By do, to Board of Public Works, F. B. 133,714 84 14,169 50

Deduct as due to the Bank of Va. s Treasurer of Board of Public

By deposits of Valley Bank Notes received for dividends, &c. 3,400 00 Making an aggregate of credits of 249,984 25

Which deducted from the amount due to the Commonwealth on the 17th Jan leaves an unaccounted for ba-lance of

It appears to your committee that a dividend on three thousand shares of stock declared by the Bank of Va. on the 1st July 1814, is wholly unaccounted for, as will appear by the following statement:

There was rec'd of the Farmers'
Bank for dividend on 1334 shares

Bank ... 400,000 00 From Bank Va. dividend January .. 15,000 00Jaty.....39,090 0

tor 1814 and with which the ditor's Report corresponds, the Commonwealth is only credited in

one item for all revenue derived from the Bank of Va. and Farm er's Bank of Va. with 450,007 00 Leaving an unaccounted for bal-

(books)......83.371.86 Makes the entire deficit as now a certained amount to the sum of .. \$122,371 86

Having ascertained that the dividend of July, 1814, had been passed directly to the credit of the late treasurer, without being first audited agreeably to the uniform practice both before and since the period of that dividend, the committee addressed the letter (No. 1) to the cashier of the Virginia Bank, and received his answer (No. 2)

The committee, in order to ascertain the real condition of the public funds as well of stocks as of disposable monies (as far as the transcript of the books of the banks and of the treasurer's transactions with the banks would enable them; addressed the letter (No. 3) to each of the cashiers, and received their answer (No. 4 and No. 5.)

The committee also instituted an enquiry into all sources of revenue which might have been paid directly into the treasury and addressed letter (No. 6.) to the auditor and received his answer (No. 7.) It will be seen by reference to state ment C that all the dividends of the Bank of Virginia have been regularly accounted for except that of July, 1814, before adverted to. Those of the Farmers' Bank of Va. (statement D) and of the James River Company (statement E) were all regularly audited. The reports of the rents and tobacco sold at the warehouses, (requiring much labour and investigation on the part of others whose daily duties engross the lar greater part of their time, and deemed by the committee of minor importance) have not been received, as will be seen by reference to the auditor's letter (No. 7.)

The committee investigated the transactions of the commissioners of the sinking fund from its establis agent to the 7th of the present month. The statement A, exhibits the condition of the 7 per cent stock, created under the loan of seven cumtred and fifts thousand dollars. "The Alissonii question is still under discussion here—I perceive some of the leading the present state of the military

der the law, authorising a loan of One Million One Hundred Thousand Dollars, was also subjected to an examination, and comparison with the certificates redeemed, and receipts thereon, and found to be correct. For a satisfactory account of the present condition of the sinking fund, and the unredeemed debt of the Commonwealth, the committee beg leave to refer to the report of Mr. Jackson, clerk of accounts, marked (General Statement.) The committee in pursuing their enquiries into the funds, derived trong the general government for advances during the late war, addressed a letter to the late treasurer, (No. 8.) and received his reply. (No. 9.) It cannot escape observation that the reply is partly irresponsive and entirely unsatisfactory. The author when before the committee upon another subject, was interrogated in relation to those accounts, and foreclosed the enquiry by admitting that the misapplication was drawn from that source. The irregularities complained of in the transmission of those sums, and the fluetuating value of the medium in which a large portion were paid, together with the confused and partial application of them which has occurred here to the xinguishment of bank debts, and the investments of stocks, render it indispresably necessary to appoint an able accountant to adjust that branch of the public tunds. The committee also discover that the books of the department are not settled up to the period of resig-nation, and recommend that that labor, dremed absolutely necessary, he added to the other duties of the temporary accountant, unless the late treasurer, according to his intimation, shall have it done forthwith.

The committee find the old registry of deposits on account of British debts and confiscations, in so decayed and imperfect a state as to be entirely inexplicable.

As the books, records, &c. in the office were deemed highly important, the committee made an ineffectual attempt to procure a public voucher therefor, in the transmission of them from one trensurer to another (see letter No. 10)

The committee would remark that they abstain from recommending any measures to provide a farther responsibility, in this department, and which in every point of view is so very destrable, in consequence of that duty having been assigned to other hands.

In closing their labours the committee derive n-uch satisfaction is being enabled by the authority of the late Treasurer to state, that no officer of the government during the late and present administration was privy to or derived any interest from the defalcation which has occurred.

Staples of the Richmond Market.

Tobacco old \$5 to 8-do. new\$5 to 7-Corn \$3-Ftonr, \$475 a 5-Meal 80 a 85-Wheat \$1 a 108 cts. Hemp\$140 a 150 per ton-Oats,

S I a 108 cts.—Fremp S 140 a 150 per 10n—Cats, 45 a 55 cts.
Groceries.—Sngars 11 a 15—Coffee 26 a 30 cts. per 1b.—Molasses 42 a 45 cts. per gallon—Cut Herrings S1\(\frac{1}{4}\) a \(\frac{1}{2}\) per bbl.—Bacon, 10 cents. per tb.—Rum, West India 85 a 100 cts.
—Whiskey 43 a 45 cts. per gallon.

Erratu in the Essay of Publicula.

In the motto, read " quo me dace," instead of " qua ne once."
In the 2d par, read, "A concise review of the procress of party spirit"—instead of "A concise review
of the party spirit"
In that part of the Essay which touched upon the

great necessity of economy in the administration of our snances—read, "that a ref rm upon this subject is imperiously required:"—as printed, it reads, "that a necessity for reform upon this subject is imper-

in the concluding paragraph, read thus; "A lively sensibility to the rights of the states, and to all thouses of the military authority—a palous viplance of the increase of the Executive pationage—and a switch attention to economy," &c. &c. it was eryonously printed thus—"A lively sensibility to the trebts of the states—and to all abuses of the increase of the Executive patronage," &c.

NOTICE

NOTICE

In Council, Feb. 15, 1820.

PROPOSALS will be received by the Executive until
the first day of March next, for furnishing the
Public Guard, and Turnkeys and Prisoners in the
Pentleaniary, with rations, for one year.
A copy, Teste,
J. W. PLEASANTS, A. C. C.
Feb. 19.
92, 11M

Feb. 19. 92..11M

RAPPAHANNOCK ACADEMY.

THE trustees wish to employ a gentleman of theral education as an assistant tunor in this institution. The salary is contingent; but it will probably exceed six hundred dollars a year. The situation is, in every

espect, a very eligible one
Letters (nost paid,) directed to the subscriber, will be
fully attended to.

ANSEL FRENCH, Fres'1.
Caroline county, Va Feb 19
92., way

Caroline county, Va Feb 19 92. w4w
POSTPONEMENT
THE sale advertised by me, as trestee, to take place at Hewick, near Urbanna, Middlesex county, is postponed till farther notice.

GEORGE D. NICHOLSON.



Freeborn's Latent Lioughs.

THOSE ploughs need no other recommendation than to state the number soid at his suctory (the last year,) in New York, which was over 3,000. They are now made and sold by the subscriber, at the New York prices, at Mesers N. & J. Tichenor's conchmaker's shop.—Likewise extra shares can be had to fil any size plough, for 60 cents.

J. MEEK R., Agent for Thomas Freeborn

Feb. 17.

10.1.31*

Tavern for Sale or Lease

July 13.

21 July 14.

22 July 14.

21 July interior of payment.

John Raghers, 1919.

John Raghers, 2019.

John Rag

100 apprehending and lodging in Richmis adjail, negro hoy SAM, a yellow fellow about 20 years old, perhaps 5 feet 0 incheshigh, pretty well proportioned, full found face, was lately the property of Dr. Wim. Fonshee, and having travelled with him once or oftener to haltimore and Philadelphia, where he has probably formed acquaintances, it is presumed he may attempt to go that way with a free pass or as Dr. Fonshee's servant. He has travelled by the way of Predericksham, Damieries, Washington City, &c.—1s aquainted most particularly at Gadsby's tavein in Baltimore and at a Mr. Jackson's in Philadelphia, who keeps a livery stable in the steet. He is well known in and near this slace.—He left me on the 29th oil, and I am told was seen in this place on the 31st. Side with beginn future with in the state of Virginia, or S 250 if in any other state.

Richmond, September 3.

17 Having disconding the Color Servand and the state of Virginia, or S 250 if in any other state. 13 \$ 150 or \$ 250 Reward,

THE directors of the Brook Turnnike Company have I this day declared a dividend of three per cent to be paid to the stockledders upon application at Sentronk's Warehouse, on and there the first day of March nest.

LICOMAS FERTON, 11. Treas's.

Richmond, September 3. 35., if 4.7 Having disposed of my fellow Sam, conditionally, withdraw the offer of the above reward. JUS: RCHOILS.